# RECEIVED <br> TESTIMONY BEFORE THE DC ZONING COMMISSION.C. OF FIVE OF ZOIIING 

 IN SUPPORT OF ZONING CASE NO 04-33G: INCLUSIONARY ZONANGD 22 AM11:40April 18, 2016
Chairman Anthony Hood, DC Zoning Commission, $4414^{\text {th }}$ Street, NW, Suite 210, Washington, DC 20001
Re: Zoning Case No. 04-33G: Make Inclusionary Zoning More Affordable and Effective
Dear Chairman Hood and Members of the Zoning Commission:
My name is Tad Baldwin and I testified in favor of this Zoning Case on April 14, 2016. During the question and answer period following our group's testimony, Commissioner Cohen asked if I would share the key number work that I had developed for the Campaign for Mandatory Inclusionary Zoning way back in 2005. It had formed the basis of our original proposal which was much weakened by the Mayor and City Council at the time the law was promulgated. Both building costs and median household income ( MHI ) have increased in the past 11 years.

My analysis technique is one that I learned while working as a development economic consultant in the 1970s for Gladstone Associates here in DC. It consists of a pro-forma of the basic unit costs of a variety of the housing units expected to be produced. It is done in as simple and clear a method as possible, recognizing that many interested parties do not easily comprehend the complex and lengthy pro-formas often provided by the development community and regulating government agencies. I am an urban planner and have spent most of my career developing and rehabbing mixed income housing for both government and non-profits. I began working on IZ in Montgomery County in the early 1970s and continued working with that program until my retirement in 2001.

My basic conclusion back in 2005 was that the IZ units in lower density townhouse and garden apartment communities, both rental and sale, would easily provide additional profit to the developers. Steel and concrete elevator mid and high rises depended upon the profits from the $20 \%$ bonus units to carry a portion of the costs of the IZ units (at $12 \%$ not the $8 \%$ finally approved) that could not be covered by borrowing at an estimated $7.5 \%$ mortgage rate. The example shown on Table 2A assumes the bonus market rate units in a 100 unit high or mid rise would generate a $\$ 800,000$ profit and the IZ units would fall $\$ 433,200$ short of breakeven, still providing a net $\$ 366,800$ profit on the IZ portion alone.

I hope Table 2A and the following three tables are comprehensible.
Thank you for asking for additional information.

[^0]
## I. SUMMARY COST COMPONENTS: Costs Increased by $15 \%, 10 \%$ more in 9/05

| COST COMPONENTS | Townhouse 3 BR,1.5ba | Garden Apt. (2BR, 1 bath) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High Rise } \\ & \text { 2 BR, } 1 \text { ba } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Square Foot Unit Size | 1050 sf | 850 sf. | 850 sf. |
| Per Sq. Ft. | 79.70 | 82.23 | 145.48 |
| Structure Cost | 83,685 | 69,896 | 123,658 |
| Land Development Cost | 11,575 | 8,030 | 10,000 |
| Total Unit Hard Cost | 95.260 | 77,926 | 133.658 |
| Special Charges (water/sewer, sprinkler, etc.) | 3,900 | 3,900 | 3,900 |
| Subtotal | 99,160 | 81,826 | 137,558 |
| Financing Fees \& Expenses | 25.38\% | 25.38\% | 25.38\% |
| Inverse Percentage | 74.62\% | 74.62\% | 74.62\% |
| TOTAL PRICE | 132,887 | 109,656 | 184,345 |

## II. PROFIT TRANSFER TO LOWER AFFORDABLE UNIT PRICES FOR MID/HIGH RISES (@65\% AV. AMI) <br> Unit Price Portion of Adjusted Bonus Profit Price <br> $500,000 \quad 36,100 \quad 148,245$ <br> Potential Price Adjustments for High Rise: For proto-typical 100 unit bldg., 8 market rate bonus units would generate $\$ 800 \mathrm{~K}$ profit. The 12 affordable

 units would need an average writedown of 36,100 each to come under the maximum target mortgage amount of 133,200 , costing 433,200 of the 800 K profit ( $54 \%$ of the profit but still clearing a gain overall for the developer).III. UNIT AFFORDABILITY BY INCOME LEVEL, 80, 75, 65, 60, 50 AND 45\% OF AMI

| Sale Price Affordable | @80\% of AMI | @ 75\% of AMI | @65\% | @ $60 \%$ | @ 50\% | 45\% AMI | 30\% AMI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median 4 person Income (1/03) | 89,300 | 89,300 | 89,300 | 89,300 | 89,300 | 89,300 | 89,300 |
| Median for 4 persons HH @ income levels noted above | 71,440 | 66975 | 58045 | 53580 | 44,650 | 40,185 | 26,790 |
| $60 \%$ of Median for 3 persons HH (more typical family size) | 64,296 | 60,278 | 52,241 | 48,222 | 40,185 | 36,167 | 24,111 |
| Housing Cost Max. at 30\% | 19,289 | 18,083 | 15,672 | 14,467 | 12,056 | 10,850 | 7,233 |
| Less Hazard Insurance @ \$50 a month | -600 | -600 | -600 | -600 | -600 | -600 | -600 |
| Less Real Estate Taxes at | -1,500 | -1,500 | -1,500 | -1,500 | -1,500 | -1,500 | -1,500 |
| Less HOA and/or Condo Fees | -2,400 | -2,400 | -2,400 | -2,400 | -2,400 | -2,400 | -2,400 |
| @ \$200 a mo. (average: HOA lower, High Rise higher) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Left for Mortgage Expenses | 14,789 | 13.583 | 11.172 | 9.967 | 7.556 | 6.350 | $\underline{2733}$ |
| Supportable Mortgage capitalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| at a mortgage rate of 0.07 | 185,200 | 170,100 | 139,900 | 124,800 | 94,600 | 79,500 | 34,200 |
| at a mortgage rate of 0.075 | 176,300 | 161,900 | 133,200 | 118,800 | 90,000 | 75,700 | 32,600 |
| at a mortgage rate of 0.08 | 168,000 | 154,300 | 126,900 | 113,200 | 85,800 | 72,100 | 31,000 |


|  |  | Three BR T | TH Unit | Two BR TH | 4 Unit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 Person | 4 Person | 3 Person | 4 Person | 3 Person | 2 Person |
| I. Affordability |  | HH | $\underline{\mathrm{HH}}$ | HH | $\underline{\mathrm{HH}}$ |  |
| Median Income (2005) |  | 89,300 |  | 89,300 |  |  |
| 65\% of median (average Affordability) | 63,850 | 58,045 | 52,241 | 58,045 | 52,241 | 46,436 |
| 30\% Max Housing Cost | 19,155 | 17,414 | 15,672 | 17,414 | 15,672 | 13,931 |
| II. Unit Cost and Mortgage |  | 3 BR Unit |  | 2 BR TH (P | Price < 10\%) |  |
| At 15\% higher cost of 72.45 sq. Ft. Hard Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total unit cost of | Same | 122,685 | Same | 110,417 | Same | Same |
| Assume Downpayment of 5\% |  | 6,134 |  | 5,521 |  |  |
| Mortgage Amount |  | 116,551 |  | 104,896 |  |  |
| Mort Payment @ 7.50\%, 30 yrs |  | 815 |  | 733 |  |  |
| III. Other Monthly Payments and Total Pmt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage Payment (from above) |  | 815 |  | 733 |  |  |
| Plus Hazard Insurance @ 50 mo. |  | 50 |  | 45 |  |  |
| Plus Real Estate Taxes |  | 150 |  | 125 |  |  |
| Plus HOA or Condo Fees |  | $\underline{250}$ |  | $\underline{200}$ |  |  |
| Total Monthly Pymts |  | 1,265 |  | 1.103 |  |  |
| Total Annual Pymts |  | 15,180 | Same | 13,236 | Same | Same |
| IV. Capitalized Mortgage Affordable at Income | e Level |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Annual Housing Cost | 19,155 | 17,414 |  |  | 15,672 |  |
| Monthly Amount | 1,596 | 1,451 |  |  | 1,306 |  |
| Less Hazard Insurance | -50 | -50 |  |  | -45 |  |
| Less Real Estate Taxes | -150 | -150 |  |  | -125 |  |
| Less HOA or Condo Fees | -250 | -250 |  |  | -200 |  |
| Net available for Mortgage | 1.146 | 1.001 |  |  | 936 |  |
| Capitalized for 30 years @ 0.075 | 163,900 | 143,200 |  |  | 133,900 |  |
| (Capitalized amount is not net of downpaymen |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pricing per TA at < $10 \%$ by Bedroom size | 163,900 |  |  |  | 147,510 |  |

Note: Key lines are bolded: In cases where the $30 \%$ of income for housing exceeds the total annual payments, developer costs on affordable units are covered without cross subsidy from bonus units.
Issue: Cost data presented shows 3 BR TH unit production cost of $\$ 122,685$.
Working off $30 \%$ of income for HH of 5 for 3 BR TH allows unit price of $\$ 163,900$ off the four person $\mathrm{HH}, \$ 143,200$ (given assumptions noted), in excess of what
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Garden Apartments


Note: Key lines are bolded: In cases where the 30\% of income for housing exceeds the total annual payments, developer costs on affordable units are covered without cross subsidy from bonus units. Since the two bedroom unit cost has been estimated at $\$ 101,136$, developers will be able to make a profit on even the affordable units, in addition to the bonus units.

High Rise Apartments


Note: Key lines are bolded: In cases where the $30 \%$ of income for housing exceeds the total annual payments, developer costs on affordable units are covered without cross subsidy from bonus units.
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